

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/797,074	CHU, REY-CHIN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Brairton.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*1,4,5 and 10*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Kazienko (US 1534394) and Blackman (US 2606663)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Amend the claims to define over the prior art. See Ex's Amd't.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**THOMAS M. LITHGOW**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)  
 GROUP 1700

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)